

This document outlines the decisions taken by Cabinet on Monday, 18 March 2024.

Decisions listed below that are Key Decisions will come into force and may then be implemented on the expiry of 5 clear working days, unless called-in by at least 5 non-executive members in writing and submitted to the Monitoring Officer using the attached form;

Executive Decision Call-in Request

Date of Publication: 19 March 2024

Last Date for Call-In: 26 March 2024

Contact: Mark Agnew, Governance Officer, governance@hackney.gov.uk, 020 8356 2398

Agenda	Topic	Decision
Item No		

Part A – Items considered in public

8	F S217 2023/24 Overall Financial Position Report - January 2024	RESOLVED:
	T conton report candary 2021	That the overall financial position of the Council as at January 2024 as set out in the report, be noted.
		REASONS FOR DECISION
		To facilitate financial management and control of the Council's finances.
		DETAILS OF ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED AND REJECTED
		This budget monitoring report is primarily an update on the Council's financial position.

Agenda	Topic	Decision
Item No		

9	F S216 Capital Update and Property Disposals And Acquisitions Report	2. Tha	D: t the Quarter 3 Capital Moni t the Capital Adjustments of nmarised below be approve	the budgets as set	
			Current Directorate	Capital Adjustments	
			£'000		
		Non Housing	(30)		
			Housing	0	
			Total	(30)	
		REASONS FOR DECISION The decisions required are necessary in order that the schemes within the Council's approved Capital programme can be delivered and to approve the property proposals as set out in this report. In most cases, resources have already been allocated to the schemes as part of the budget setting exercise but spending approval is required in order for the scheme to proceed. Where, however, resources have not previously been allocated, resource approval is requested in this			

report.

Item No

10	CHE S231 Approval of draft Supplementary Planning Guidance for the Ash Grove	To facilitate financial management and control of the Council's finances. DETAILS OF ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED AND REJECTED None. RESOLVED: That the draft Ash Grove SPD (Appendix 1) be approved for public consultation. REASONS FOR DECISION Continuous consultation and engagement with stakeholders and the public is integral to producing local plan documents. Consultation on the draft SPD is required in accordance with Regulation 12 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 and will help inform and influence the final version of the SPD. DETAILS OF ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED AND REJECTED There are no alternative options. Consultation on a draft Ash Grove SPD is required to comply with the Regulations.
11	CHE S313 Parking Zone F Stage 4 Review Consultation	RESOLVED: That the Cabinet Approved to the: 1. Changing the operational hours to Monday to Friday 8:30 am to 6:30 pm and Saturday 8:30 am to 1:30 pm in all roads within Zone F. 2. Proposals to convert all existing resident and business permit bays to general

Agenda Item No	Topic	Decision
		permit bays.
		3. Implementation of the parking design changes for Zone F.
		REASONS FOR DECISION
		Although Monday to Friday, 8:30 am to 6:30 pm, was the most popular option, the widespread support for extended hours across the zone, coupled with the independent stress survey indicating significant weekend stress, led to the conclusion that reducing operational hours would not benefit residents and businesses.
		Although there was notable support (15%) for Monday to Sunday controls, this level was deemed insufficient to justify implementing this option independently. The parking stress survey revealed increased parking stress in the evenings compared to controlled hours; however, this increase was not significant enough to warrant extending control hours.
		Converting all business and resident bays to general permit bays will standardise parking bay types and signage throughout the zone.
		DETAILS OF ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED AND REJECTED
		An alternative was to forgo a review consultation and maintain current parking controls in Zone F. However, this would conflict with the Council's parking policies and consultation charter, as it would ignore the needs of residents and businesses. Delaying the review and consultation was an option but contradicted the Council's policies, and there were compelling reasons to proceed with a review.
		Regarding operational hours, maintaining the existing schedule was not an option. This aligns with Council policies, which advocate for standardising parking zone hours wherever possible

Agenda Item No	Topic	Decision
	ı	
		to minimise confusion for motorists parking in different zones.
		Parking Services explored creating a split zone with varying operational hours in different sections. However, this approach was deemed unviable upon reviewing the distribution of support for the three most popular operational hours options, as it was found that support for each option was evenly spread across the entire zone.